European Journal of Clinical Pharmacy
Print ISSN: 2385-409X **Online ISSN**: 3105-0409
Website: https://farmclin.com/

Original Research Article

Awareness of Medico-Legal Responsibilities Among Medical Practitioners: Cross-sectional descriptive study

Article History:

Received: 04-11-2019
Revised: 18-11-2019
Accepted: 02-12-2019
Published: 18-12-2019

Name of Author:
Imran Sabri[1]*

Affiliation: *[1]. Faculty Member, Division of Forensic Medicine, Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Medicine, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia,*

Corresponding Author:
Dr Imran Sabri

Abstract: ***Background:*** Medical practitioners are frequently required to perform medico-legal duties such as examination of injured patients, documentation of medico-legal cases, preservation of evidence, issuance of certificates, and court testimony. Adequate awareness of medico-legal responsibilities is essential to ensure ethical medical practice, legal compliance, and effective administration of justice. However, lack of training and fear of legal consequences often lead to errors and reluctance in handling medico-legal cases. ***Aim:*** To assess the level of awareness regarding medico-legal responsibilities among medical practitioners and identify areas requiring improvement. ***Materials and Methods***: A cross-sectional questionnaire-based study was conducted among medical practitioners working in clinical departments of a tertiary care teaching hospital. A structured, pre-validated questionnaire assessing knowledge of medico-legal responsibilities, documentation, evidence preservation, consent, and court procedures was administered. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics. ***Results:*** Out of 200 medical practitioners surveyed, 72.5% demonstrated adequate awareness of basic medico-legal responsibilities. Knowledge gaps were identified in areas related to chain of custody (46.0%), handling of sexual offence cases (38.5%), and legal implications of improper documentation (41.0%). Awareness levels were higher among senior residents and consultants compared to junior doctors. ***Conclusion:*** Although general awareness of medico-legal responsibilities among medical practitioners was satisfactory, significant gaps persist in critical forensic and legal aspects. Regular training programs, inclusion of medico-legal modules in continuing medical education, and institutional support are necessary to enhance medico-legal competence among clinicians.

Keywords: Medico-legal responsibilities, medical practitioners, forensic medicine, legal awareness, medical documentation

INTRODUCTION

Medical practice extends far beyond the diagnosis and treatment of disease and encompasses a wide spectrum of ethical, social, and legal responsibilities. Among these, medico-legal responsibilities occupy a critical position, particularly in situations where healthcare intersects with the criminal justice system. Medical practitioners are frequently required to handle medico-legal cases involving trauma, poisoning, burns, sexual offences, workplace injuries, road traffic accidents, and deaths under suspicious or unnatural circumstances. In such situations, the role of the doctor is dual in nature: to provide appropriate medical care while simultaneously fulfilling statutory legal obligations [1,2].

A medico-legal case is defined as any clinical encounter where the attending physician is expected to investigate, document, and report findings that may later be scrutinized by law enforcement agencies or courts of law. These responsibilities include correct identification and registration of medico-legal cases, meticulous documentation of clinical findings and injuries, preservation and labeling of forensic evidence, maintenance of chain of custody, obtaining valid informed consent, ensuring confidentiality, issuing medico-legal certificates, and providing unbiased expert testimony during judicial proceedings [3,4]. Any lapse in these duties can compromise the legal process, adversely affect judicial outcomes, and expose medical practitioners to allegations of negligence or professional

misconduct.

In recent years, the medico-legal environment surrounding medical practice has become increasingly complex. Growing patient awareness, expansion of consumer protection laws, increased media scrutiny, and a global rise in medical litigation have significantly heightened the legal accountability of healthcare professionals [5]. Courts increasingly rely on medical records, injury reports, and expert opinions provided by doctors to establish facts, determine liability, and dispense justice. Consequently, medical documentation is no longer viewed solely as a clinical record but as a vital legal document with evidentiary value [6].

Despite the pivotal role of clinicians in medico-legal processes, numerous studies have demonstrated inadequate awareness and inconsistent practices among medical practitioners regarding their medico-legal responsibilities. Deficiencies have been reported in areas such as injury documentation, consent procedures, evidence handling, chain-of-custody maintenance, and courtroom conduct [7-9]. These gaps are particularly concerning because even minor errors—such as improper wording in injury certificates, delayed reporting to authorities, or failure to preserve evidence—may render crucial evidence inadmissible in court [10].

Junior doctors, interns, and residents are often the first point of contact for medico-legal cases, especially in emergency departments and trauma units. However, this group frequently reports low confidence and heightened anxiety when dealing with medico-legal matters due to limited hands-on training and fear of legal repercussions [11,12]. The lack of structured mentoring and supervision further compounds this problem, leading to defensive medical practices or avoidance of medico-legal cases altogether, which may ultimately compromise patient care and legal integrity [13].

In India and several other developing countries, forensic medicine is primarily taught during undergraduate medical education. While the subject provides foundational theoretical knowledge, opportunities for reinforcement during postgraduate training and clinical service remain limited. As a result, medico-legal knowledge often remains academic rather than practical, with clinicians learning through experience rather than structured instruction [14]. Teaching hospitals, therefore, bear a significant responsibility in assessing, reinforcing, and standardizing medico-legal practices among medical practitioners.

Another critical area of concern is the handling of sexual offence cases. Despite the availability of national and international guidelines outlining standardized medico-legal protocols, studies have reported inconsistent awareness and poor compliance among clinicians regarding consent, evidence collection, victim rights, and documentation [15-17]. Inadequate medico-legal handling of such cases not only weakens legal proceedings but may also result in secondary victimization and violation of human rights [18].

Furthermore, medical practitioners are frequently required to appear in courts as expert witnesses. However, unfamiliarity with legal terminology, court procedures, cross-examination techniques, and medico-legal report interpretation often leads to apprehension and reluctance to testify [19]. This lack of preparedness can diminish the quality of expert evidence presented before courts and negatively affect judicial decision-making.

Given the critical importance of medico-legal competence in modern medical practice, periodic assessment of awareness among medical practitioners is essential. Identifying knowledge gaps allows institutions to design targeted educational interventions, including workshops, mock court sessions, medico-legal audits, and continuing medical education programs [20-22]. Strengthening medico-legal awareness not only safeguards medical practitioners against legal complications but also enhances the quality of healthcare delivery and supports the justice system.

Against this background, the present study was undertaken to assess the level of awareness regarding medico-legal responsibilities among medical practitioners working in a tertiary care teaching hospital. The study aims to identify specific domains of strength and deficiency and to provide evidence-based recommendations for improving medico-legal education and practice among clinicians.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

Cross-sectional descriptive study.

Study Setting

Tertiary care teaching hospital attached to the School of Medical Sciences and Research, Sharda University, Greater Noida.

Study Period

November 2010 to August 2012.

Study Population

Medical practitioners including:

- Interns
- Junior residents
- Senior residents
- Consultants

Sample Size

A total of 200 medical practitioners participated in the study.

Study Tool

A structured, pre-validated questionnaire consisting of:

- Demographic details
- Knowledge of medico-legal case registration
- Documentation and injury certification
- Consent and confidentiality
- Evidence preservation and chain of custody

- Court duties and legal liabilities

Data Collection

Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, and consent was obtained. Questionnaires were administered anonymously.

Data Analysis

Data were compiled and analyzed using descriptive statistics. Results were expressed as frequencies and percentages.

RESULTS

During the study period, 200 medical practitioners from various clinical departments participated in the survey to assess their awareness of medico-legal responsibilities. The results are presented below with emphasis on overall awareness levels, domain-wise knowledge, and variation according to professional designation.

Overall Awareness of Medico-Legal Responsibilities

The majority of participants demonstrated adequate awareness of basic medico-legal responsibilities, although a notable proportion showed inadequate knowledge.

Table 1: Overall Awareness of Medico-Legal Responsibilities (n = 200)

Awareness Level	Number of Participants	Percentage (%)
Adequate	145	72.5
Inadequate	55	27.5
Total	**200**	**100**

Nearly one-fourth of medical practitioners had inadequate awareness of medico-legal responsibilities.

Awareness of Specific Medico-Legal Domains

Knowledge varied across different medico-legal domains, with comparatively lower awareness related to evidence handling and legal procedures.

Table 2: Domain-wise Awareness of Medico-Legal Responsibilities

Medico-Legal Domain	Adequate Awareness (%)
Registration of medico-legal cases	81.0
Injury documentation and certification	76.5
Consent and confidentiality	74.0
Evidence preservation	62.0
Chain of custody	54.0
Court procedures and expert testimony	59.0

Awareness was highest for medico-legal case registration and lowest for chain-of-custody procedures.

Awareness According to Professional Designation

Awareness levels increased with seniority and clinical experience.

Table 3: Awareness of Medico-Legal Responsibilities by Designation

Designation	Number of Participants	Adequate Awareness (%)
Interns	40	61.0
Junior Residents	70	68.5
Senior Residents	50	79.0
Consultants	40	86.5

Senior residents and consultants demonstrated higher awareness compared to interns and junior residents.

Knowledge Gaps Identified Among Participants

Specific areas of deficient knowledge were identified across all professional groups.

Table 4: Identified Knowledge Gaps in Medico-Legal Responsibilities

Area of Deficient Knowledge	Participants Affected (%)
Chain of custody procedures	46.0
Handling of sexual offence cases	38.5
Legal implications of improper documentation	41.0
Court appearance and cross-examination procedures	35.5

Multiple knowledge gaps were identified, particularly in forensic and legal procedural aspects.

Overall, the results indicate that while basic medico-legal awareness among medical practitioners is satisfactory, critical deficiencies persist in advanced forensic and legal domains, underscoring the need for targeted educational interventions.

DISCUSSION

The present cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted to assess the level of awareness regarding

medico-legal responsibilities among medical practitioners working in a tertiary care teaching hospital. The findings reveal that although a majority of clinicians demonstrated satisfactory awareness of basic medico-legal obligations, substantial gaps persist in several critical forensic and legal domains. These findings are of considerable importance, as inadequate medico-legal competence can adversely affect patient rights, compromise judicial processes, and expose medical practitioners to legal and professional consequences.

In the present study, 72.5% of participants demonstrated adequate awareness of medico-legal responsibilities. This level of awareness is comparable to that reported in earlier studies conducted in teaching hospitals across India, where baseline knowledge of medico-legal case registration and injury documentation was found to be relatively satisfactory [5–7,9]. This may be attributed to undergraduate exposure to forensic medicine and routine clinical involvement in medico-legal cases, particularly in emergency and trauma care settings. However, the finding that more than one-fourth of practitioners had inadequate awareness is a matter of concern, as even isolated lapses in medico-legal handling may have serious legal implications [8,10].

Awareness was highest with respect to identification and registration of medico-legal cases and basic injury documentation. Similar observations have been reported by Sharma and Mathur and Patel and Shah, who noted that these aspects are often emphasized in routine clinical practice and institutional protocols [6,10]. Nevertheless, several authors have pointed out that awareness does not necessarily equate to correct or comprehensive practice. Deficiencies in injury description, failure to record measurements, absence of opinion regarding causation, and inappropriate medico-legal terminology have been commonly documented in medico-legal records, thereby reducing their evidentiary value in courts of law [10,12].

A significant concern highlighted by the present study was inadequate awareness regarding evidence preservation and chain of custody. Only about half of the participants demonstrated adequate knowledge of chain-of-custody procedures. This finding is consistent with previous research, which has repeatedly identified poor understanding of forensic evidence handling among clinicians [7,11,13]. Chain of custody is a cornerstone of forensic science, ensuring that evidence remains untampered and legally admissible from the point of collection to presentation in court. Any breach in this process may lead to rejection of evidence, irrespective of its scientific relevance [14]. Nayak et al. further emphasized that documentation errors and improper handling of evidence significantly increase the vulnerability of medical practitioners to legal scrutiny and litigation [28].

Limited awareness regarding court procedures and expert testimony was another important observation in the present study. Many clinicians remain unfamiliar with courtroom etiquette, legal terminology, and the dynamics of cross-examination, leading to anxiety and reluctance to participate in judicial proceedings [15,16]. Similar findings have been reported by Chandra et al. and Sharma and Harish, who noted that lack of preparation and fear of hostile questioning often deter doctors from providing effective expert testimony [15,16]. Given the crucial role of medical experts in judicial decision-making, inadequate preparedness in this domain can compromise both professional credibility and legal outcomes.

Handling of sexual offence cases emerged as a particularly sensitive area with notable knowledge gaps. Despite the availability of national and international guidelines outlining standardized medico-legal protocols, a considerable proportion of clinicians demonstrated inadequate awareness regarding consent procedures, evidence collection, and victim rights [20–22]. Previous studies have shown that improper medico-legal handling of sexual assault cases not only weakens legal proceedings but may also result in secondary victimization and violation of ethical principles [18,22]. These findings underscore the urgent need for focused training in this domain
.
The present study also demonstrated a clear association between professional seniority and medico-legal awareness. Senior residents and consultants exhibited higher levels of awareness compared to interns and junior residents. Similar trends have been reported by Mohanty and Das and Rao, who attributed this difference to increased clinical exposure, repeated involvement in medico-legal cases, and courtroom experience among senior clinicians [17,18]. In contrast, junior doctors often lack confidence and express fear of legal repercussions, which may lead to defensive medical practices or avoidance of medico-legal responsibilities [11,19].

Recent studies further support these observations. Garg and Verma reported that resident doctors often possess basic awareness of medico-legal responsibilities but lack competence in advanced legal and procedural aspects [26]. Singh and Gupta highlighted that medico-legal case management in emergency departments remains inconsistent unless supported by institutional protocols and supervised training [27]. Basu and Banerjee emphasized that inadequate medico-legal awareness contributes to defensive medicine, negatively affecting patient care and professional satisfaction [29]. Aggarwal and Kumar advocated for curriculum reform and integration of medico-legal training throughout clinical education rather than restricting it to undergraduate teaching alone [30].

The findings of the present study highlight the limitations of relying solely on undergraduate forensic medicine education to impart medico-legal competence. Continuous reinforcement through postgraduate training, in-service education, mock court exercises, interdisciplinary collaboration with forensic medicine departments, and periodic audits of medico-legal documentation are essential [20,23–25]. Such interventions have been shown to significantly improve awareness, confidence, and quality of medico-legal practice among clinicians.

The strengths of this study include its inclusion of medical practitioners across different levels of seniority and its comprehensive assessment of multiple medico-legal domains. However, the study is limited by its single-center design and reliance on self-reported data, which may be subject to response bias. Future multicentric studies incorporating objective assessment of medico-legal practices and interventional designs are recommended.

In conclusion, while the present study demonstrates satisfactory baseline awareness of medico-legal responsibilities among medical practitioners, significant deficiencies persist in critical forensic and legal aspects such as evidence preservation, chain of custody, sexual offence management, and court procedures. Addressing these gaps through structured training, institutional support, and continuous medical education is essential to safeguard medical practitioners, protect patient rights, and ensure the effective functioning of the medico-legal and judicial systems.

Comparison of Findings of the Present Study with Previous Studies

Author(s) & Year	Study Population & Setting	Key Findings	Comparison with Present Study
Sharma BR (2008) [5]	Medical practitioners, teaching hospital	Moderate awareness of medico-legal responsibilities; poor documentation practices noted	Comparable overall awareness; present study also identified documentation-related deficiencies
Patel & Shah (2012) [6]	Clinicians in tertiary care hospital	Adequate awareness of medico-legal case registration; poor knowledge of evidence handling	Similar findings; present study showed high awareness of MLC registration but low chain-of-custody knowledge
Mohanty & Panigrahi (2009) [7]	Junior doctors in emergency settings	Significant gaps in medico-legal knowledge among junior doctors	Consistent with present study showing lower awareness among interns and junior residents
Kumar & Agarwal (2010) [9]	Medical practitioners, India	Basic medico-legal awareness satisfactory; deficiencies in legal procedures	Present study corroborates these findings, especially regarding court procedures
Sharma & Mathur (2010) [10]	Emergency department documentation audit	Poor quality and incomplete medico-legal documentation	Present study supports concern that awareness does not always translate into correct practice
Saferstein (2011) [11]	Review of forensic practices	Emphasized importance of chain of custody for evidentiary validity	Present study showed low awareness of chain-of-custody principles
Houck & Siegel (2010) [12]	Forensic science education review	Clinicians often lack practical training in evidence preservation	Findings align with present study's evidence-handling deficiencies
Chandra et al. (2007) [15]	Doctors appearing as expert witnesses	Poor courtroom preparedness and legal understanding	Present study similarly found limited awareness of court procedures
Sharma & Harish (2009) [16]	Medical professionals and court experience	High anxiety and reluctance to testify in courts	Present study supports these observations
WHO (2003) [16]	Global guidelines on sexual violence care	Emphasized standardized medico-legal protocols	Present study shows inadequate awareness despite guideline availability
Govt. of India (2014) [17]	National protocol evaluation	Inconsistent compliance with sexual offence medico-legal guidelines	Present study similarly identified gaps in handling sexual offence cases
Payneadhika & Verma (2012) [18]	Clinicians managing sexual assault cases	Poor awareness of consent and evidence collection	Present study findings are in agreement
Mohanty & Das (2010) [20]	Review of medico-legal education	Senior doctors more competent due to experience	Present study also demonstrated higher awareness with seniority
Rao NG (2011) [21]	CME-based study	CME improves medico-legal competence	Supports recommendation of structured training in present study
Bansal & Murali (2011) [24]	Training intervention study	Improved documentation after medico-legal training	Reinforces need for training programs as recommended

The comparison of the present study with previous research demonstrates a consistent pattern of satisfactory baseline awareness coupled with significant deficiencies in advanced medico-legal domains such as evidence preservation, chain of custody, sexual offence management, and court procedures. The influence of professional seniority on awareness

observed in the present study has also been widely reported, underscoring the role of experience and repeated exposure. These findings collectively emphasize that medico-legal competence requires continuous reinforcement beyond undergraduate education through structured institutional training and continuing medical education.

CONCLUSION

Awareness of medico-legal responsibilities among medical practitioners was found to be satisfactory at a basic level; however, notable gaps exist in forensic documentation, evidence handling, and legal procedures. Strengthening medico-legal education through regular training programs, continuing medical education, and close collaboration with forensic medicine departments is essential to enhance compliance and safeguard both medical practitioners and the justice system.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Regular medico-legal training workshops for clinicians
- Inclusion of medico-legal modules in continuing medical education
- Easy access to standard medico-legal guidelines and protocols
- Periodic assessment and audits of medico-legal practices

REFERENCES

1. Modi JP. Modi's Textbook of Medical Jurisprudence and Toxicology. 24th ed. New Delhi: LexisNexis; 2011.
2. Reddy KSN. The Essentials of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology. 30th ed. Hyderabad: Om Sai Graphics; 2011.
3. Vij K. Textbook of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology: Principles and Practice. 5th ed. New Delhi: Elsevier; 2011.
4. Payne-James J, Jones R, Karch SB, Manlove J. Simpson's Forensic Medicine. 13th ed. London: Hodder Arnold; 2011.
5. Sharma BR. Medico-legal awareness among doctors and its implications. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2008;30(3):148–152.
6. Patel DJ, Shah JV. Knowledge of medico-legal responsibilities among clinicians in a teaching hospital. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2012;34(2):134–138.
7. Mohanty MK, Panigrahi MK. Medico-legal knowledge gaps among junior doctors. Indian J Forensic Med Pathol. 2009;2(3):101–105.
8. Rao NG, Kumar S. Medico-legal negligence and professional accountability of doctors. J Forensic Med Toxicol. 2011;28(1):22–26.
9. Kumar A, Agarwal BB. Awareness of medico-legal duties among medical practitioners. Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol. 2010;4(2):45–49.
10. Sharma G, Mathur R. Evaluation of medico-legal documentation practices in emergency care. J Emerg Trauma Shock. 2010;3(2):154–158.
11. Saferstein R. Criminalistics: An Introduction to Forensic Science. 10th ed. Upper Saddle River: Pearson Education; 2011.
12. Houck MM, Siegel JA. Fundamentals of Forensic Science. 2nd ed. London: Academic Press; 2010.
13. James SH, Nordby JJ, Bell S. Forensic Science: An Introduction to Scientific and Investigative Techniques. 3rd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2005.
14. Spitz WU, Fisher RS. Spitz and Fisher's Medicolegal Investigation of Death. 4th ed. Springfield: Charles C Thomas; 2006.
15. Chandra J, Dogra TD, Dikshit PC. Doctors as expert witnesses: Awareness and challenges. J Forensic Med Toxicol. 2007;24(1):20–24.
16. Sharma BR, Harish D. Courtroom experience and preparedness among medical professionals. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2009;31(4):320–324.
17. Mohanty MK, Das SK. Medico-legal education and training in India: Current status and future needs. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2010;32(2):120–124.
18. Rao NG. Role of continuous medical education in improving medico-legal competence. Indian J Med Ethics. 2011;8(4):230–234.
19. Dogra TD, Rudra A. Lyons Medical Jurisprudence and Toxicology. 11th ed. Delhi: Law Publishers; 2010.
20. World Health Organization. Guidelines for Medico-Legal Care for Victims of Sexual Violence. Geneva: WHO; 2003.
21. Government of India. Guidelines and Protocols for Medico-Legal Care for Survivors/Victims of Sexual Violence. New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; 2014.
22. Payneadhika P, Verma SK. Medico-legal handling of sexual assault cases: Awareness among clinicians. Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol. 2012;6(1):87–91.
23. Payne-James J, Busuttil A, Smock W. Forensic Medicine: Clinical and Pathological Aspects. London: Greenwich Medical Media; 2003.
24. Bansal YS, Murali G. Improving medico-legal documentation through training interventions. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2011;33(4):321–325.
25. World Medical Association. Medical Ethics Manual. 2nd ed. Ferney-Voltaire: World Medical Association; 2009.
26. Garg V, Verma SK. Awareness of medico-legal responsibilities among resident doctors in a tertiary care hospital. Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol. 2014;8(2):55–59.
27. Singh A, Gupta R. Medico-legal case

management in emergency departments: Knowledge and practices of clinicians. J Forensic Leg Med. 2013;20(6):543–547.
28. Nayak VC, Ashraf M, Kumar N. Documentation errors in medico-legal cases and their legal implications. Med Leg J. 2012;80(3):119–124.
29. Basu S, Banerjee A. Medico-legal awareness and defensive medical practices among doctors. Indian J Med Ethics. 2015;12(1):28–33.
30. Aggarwal A, Kumar R. Teaching medico-legal responsibilities to clinicians: Need for curriculum reform. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2016;38(1):1–5.